WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

			• •			
	Jose De	e Jesus	Rodriguez-Valdez	Case Number: _	16-1125MJ-010-PHX-JFM	
			Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 are established: (Check one or bott	.,	peen submitted to the Court. I conclude	
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
			a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.			
			an offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed afte described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)	the defendant had been cor 1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses te or local offenses.	
			any felony that involves a minor device (as those terms are define to register under 18 U.S.C. §225	ed in section 921), or any oth	ssession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)	(2) 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on relea pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	(3) 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				lapsed since the (date of se described in finding 1.	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of condition will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant not rebutted this presumption.				
			Alte	ernative Findings		
	(1)	18 U.S	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	cause to believe that the def	endant has committed an offense	
			for which a maximum term of imp	orisonment of ten years or me	ore is prescribed in1	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a)	, or 2332b.		
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for v prescribed.	which a maximum term of im	prisonment of 20 years or more is	
			an offense involving a minor victi	m under section	2	
	(2)					

 $^{^{1}} Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,07,2425.$

Case 2:16-cr-00305-JJT Document 23 Filed 02/29/16 Page 2 of 3

	Alternative Findings					
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	or combination of conditions will reasonably				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assu	re the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attendintimidate a prospective witness or juror).	npt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or				
(4)						
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASON (Check one or both, as applicable.)					
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at evidence as to danger that:	the hearing establishes by clear and convincing				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.					
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by	y fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	incarceration and a maximum of				
The d	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretric	al Services Report.				
The d	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretri	al Services Report.				

 $^{^{3}}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. $^{\$}$ 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. $^{\$}$ 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:16-cr-00305-JJT Document 23 Filed 02/29/16 Page 3 of 3

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant has ties to a foreign country. There is no evidence of defendant having community ties in the District of Arizona or elsewhere within the United States. The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Thichell.

United States Magistrate Judge

DATED this 29th day of February, 2016